ELEKTRO 2012

A COMPLEX OVERVIEW OF THE ROTARY SINGLE INVERTED PENDULUM SYSTEM

Ing. Slávka JADLOVSKÁ prof. Ing. Ján SARNOVSKÝ, CSc.

Department of Cybernetics and Artificial Intelligence Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Informatics Technical University of Košice 13. 10. 2012

Inverted Pendula Systems - a class of mechanical systems significant for control theory



Main Points of the Presented Problem

- I. mathematical modeling and simulation of the rotary inverted pendulum system
 - automatic derivation of motion equations
 - open-loop dynamical analysis
- **II.** stabilization of the rotary single inverted pendulum via state-feedback control techniques
 - automatic linear approximation of the system
 - state-feedback control with a state estimator
 - state-feedback control with permanent disturbance compensation

III. conclusion and evaluation of the achieved results

Inverted Pendula Modeling and Control - IPMaC (Simulink block library)



A. Mathematical Modeling and Simulation of the Rotary Single Inverted Pendulum

A. 13.10.2012 Inverted Pendula Model Equation Derivator (automatic derivation of motion equations)

selection of system type & number of pendulum links



A. Generalized approach to inverted pendula modeling

13. 10. 2012



Α.

General procedure of mathematical model derivation for inverted pendula systems - brief outline

13. 10. 2012

Vector of generalized coordinates:

$$\theta(t) = (\theta_0(t) \quad \theta_1(t) \quad \dots \quad \theta_n(t))^T$$

Lagrange equations of the second kind:



A. 13. 10. 2012 Simulation models of selected inverted pendula systems (dynamic-masked *Simulink* library blocks)





A. Rotary single inverted pendulum system library block structure

Rotary Single Inverted Pendulum function block



Demo Simulations I: Open-loop dynamical analysis for nonlinear force-torque models of inverted pendula systems

Δ.



A. 13.10. 2012 Rotary single inverted pendulum (torque model) open-loop dynamical analysis



A. 13.10.2012 Simulation model of actuating mechanism (DC motor) for inverted pendula systems (*Simulink* library block)



DC motor model in form of a voltage torque conversion relationship

A



Demo Simulations II: Open-loop dynamical analysis for nonlinear voltage models of inverted pendula systems



A. 13.10. 2012 Rotary single inverted pendulum (voltage model) open-loop dynamical analysis





B. Stabilization of the Rotary Single Inverted Pendulum via State-Feedback Control Techniques

B. 13.10. 2012 Control techniques for inverted pendula systems supported by the *IPMaC* block library



B. 1) Inverted Pendula Model Linearizator & Discretizer

20

selection of system type & number of pendulum links

Model L nearizator & Discretizer	Cart mass (m0): 0.5 Pendulum mass (m1): 0.275	Arm length (I0): Pendulum length (I1):	0.6 Friction coefficient (delta0): 0.5 Damping coefficient (delta1):	0.3	<i> system</i> <i>parameters</i>
one (single inverted pendulum) Type of system C classical	Motor parameters	Motor torque constant (Km): 0 Gearbox ratio (Kg):	Motor resistance (Ra): 3.7 Motor pinion radius (r):	2.6 0.00635	<i>motor parameters</i>
rotary	Continuous-time state-space matrices	Pendulum position: ut			
$\theta_1 I_1 J_1 J_1 M_1 g$	State matrix A: 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 -14.3243 -3.5435 0.2434 0 55.2138 6.3783 -0.9380	Input matrix 0 0 0.1288 -0.2318	B: Output matrix C: 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Direct feedthrough matrix D: 0 0		<i>matrices of the linearize system</i>
	Discretize system	Sampling time: 0.01			
1 Per	Discrete-time state-space matrices	Input matrix	G: Output matrix C:		matrices of
M θ_0 $m_0 s$	1 -7.0595e-04 0.0098 9.63 0 1.0027 3.1434e-04 0 0 -0.1402 0.9652 0 0 0.5456 0.0624 0	19e-06 6.3563e-06 0.0100 -1.1425e-05 0.0017 0.0013 0.9935 -0.0023	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Direct feedthrough matrix D:		the discretiz system





B. 1)

Linearized (continuous-time) and discretized (discrete-time) state-space description of rotary single inverted pendulum

[A,B,C,D] = matrices_rotary([0.5 0.6 0.275 0.5 0.3 0.011458],'up','m')

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -14,3243 & -3,5435 & 0,2434 \\ 0 & 55,2138 & 6,3783 & -0,938 \end{pmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0,1288 \\ -0,2318 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ts=0.01 [F,G]=c2d(A,B,Ts)

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0,0007 & 0,0098 & 0 \\ 0 & 1,0027 & 0,0004 & 0,01 \\ 0 & -0,1402 & 0,9652 & 0,0017 \\ 0 & 0,5456 & 0,0624 & 0,9935 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 0,00006 \\ -0,000011 \\ 0,0013 \\ -0,0023 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

B. 2) 13.10.2012 Software support for state-feedback controller design (Simulink library blocks)



B. 2) ^{13. 10. 2012} State-feedback control - basic control scheme

25



 $u(t) = u_R(t) + u_{ff}(t) + d_u(t) = -kx(t) + k_{ff}w(t) + d_u(t)$

13. 10. 2012

Library block State-Feedback Controller with FeedForward Gain

Computation of the feedback gain vector *k* a) using the pole-placement algorithm

continuous-time state-space model

Computes feedb	ack and feedforward gain to generate control input for inverted
pendula systems	
Parameters	
Compute from:	continuous-time 🗸
State matrix A:	
A	
Input matrix B:	
В	
Output matrix C	:
с	
Direct feedthrou	ıgh matrix D:
D	
Method used:	pole-placement 🗸 🗸
Choose poles:	
[-2 -3+j -3-j -6	
Reference ir	nput other than zero?
Disturbance	input?
Saturation?	
Limits (upper - lo	ower):
[inf -inf]	
Sample time (-1	for inherited):
Ts	

discrete-time state-space model

State-Peebback Controller with Peedforward Gain (mask) (inity) Computes feedback and feedforward gain to generate control input for inverted pendula systems. Parameters Compute from: discrete-time State matrix F: F Input matrix G: G Output matrix G: G Output matrix C: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Reference input? Reference input? Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Function Block Parameters: Stat	e-Feedback Controller with Feedfo
Computes feedback and feedforward gain to generate control input for inverted pendula systems. Parameters Compute from: discrete-time State matrix F: F Input matrix G: G Output matrix G: G Output matrix C: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Ø Reference input? Ø Saturation? Limits (upper -lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	State-Feedback Controller with Feed	Itorward Gain (mask) (link)
Parameters Compute from: discrete-time State matrix F: F Input matrix G: G Output matrix G: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Ø Reference input other than zero? Ø Disturbance input? Ø Saturation? Limits (upper -lower): [Inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Computes feedback and feedforward pendula systems.	d gain to generate control input for inverted
Compute from: discrete-time State matrix F: F Input matrix G: G Output matrix G: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Reference input other than zero? Disturbance input? Saturation? Limits (upper -lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Parameters	
State matrix F: F Input matrix G: G Output matrix C: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] V Reference input other than zero? V Disturbance input? Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Compute from: discrete-time	•
F Input matrix G: G Output matrix C: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Ø Reference input other than zero? Ø Disturbance input? Ø Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts	State matrix F:	
Input matrix G: G Output matrix C: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Ø Reference input other than zero? Ø Disturbance input? Ø Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	F	
G Output matrix C: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Ø Reference input other than zero? Ø Disturbance input? Ø Saturation? Limits (upper -lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Input matrix G:	
Output matrix C: C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Ø Reference input other than zero? Ø Disturbance input? Ø Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf - inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	G	
C Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Reference input other than zero? Disturbance input? Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Output matrix C:	
Direct feedthrough matrix D: D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Ø Reference input other than zero? Ø Disturbance input? Ø Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	С	
D Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Reference input other than zero? Disturbance input? Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Direct feedthrough matrix D:	
Method used: pole-placement Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Reference input other than zero? Disturbance input? Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	D	
Choose poles: [0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] Reference input other than zero? Disturbance input? Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Method used: pole-placement	•
[0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92] ☑ Reference input other than zero? ☑ Disturbance input? ☑ Saturation? Limits (upper -lower): [Inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Choose poles:	
Image: Constraint of the image is a constra	[0.98 0.96 0.94 0.92]	
☑ Disturbance input? ☑ Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Reference input other than zero	?
Saturation? Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Disturbance input?	
Limits (upper - lower): [inf -inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Saturation?	
[inf-inf] Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Limits (upper - lower):	
Sample time (-1 for inherited): Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	[inf -inf]	
Ts OK Cancel Help Apply	Sample time (-1 for inherited):	
OK Cancel Help Apoly	Ts	
OK Cancel Help Apply		
	ОК	Cancel Help Apply

Library block State-Feedback Controller with FeedForward Gain

13. 10. 2012

discrete-time state-space model

Computation of the feedback gain vector *k* 2) using the linear quadratic optimal control method (LQR)

continuous-time state-space model



B. 2) 13.10.2012 Library block State-Feedback Controller with FeedForward Gain - supported control objectives

 $\frac{1}{(F-gk))^{-1}g}$

- Initial deflection of the pendulum (nonzero initial conditions)
- Time-constrained and permanent
 disturbance input compensation
 (d_u ≠ 0)
- Tracking a desired reference trajectory by the cart or arm

$$k_{ff} = \frac{-1}{\boldsymbol{c}_1 (\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{k})^{-1} \boldsymbol{b}} \qquad \qquad k_{ffD} = \frac{-1}{\boldsymbol{c}_1 (\boldsymbol{I}_{2n+2})^{-1} \boldsymbol{b}}$$



28





State-Feedback Controller with Feedforward Gain



B. 2) 13. 10. 2012 Library block *Luenberger Estimator*

Computing the state estimator matrix L

pole-placement algorithm

State space estim	ator (mask) (link)	
Parameters		
State matrix F:		
5		
Input matrix G:		
G		
Output matrix C:		
С		
Direct feedthroug	Jh matrix D:	
D		
Method used: p	ole-placement	
Choose poles:		
[0.10.2+0.1j0.	2-0. 1j 0.3]	
Initial conditions:		
0		
Sample time (-1 fo	or inherited):	
Ts		L

optimal control method (LQR)

State space estimator (mask) (link)	^
Parameters	
State matrix F:	
3	
Input matrix G:	
G	
Output matrix C:	
c	
Direct feedthrough matrix D:	
D	E
Method used: LQR	•
Enter Q:	
diag[500 0 20 0]	
Enter R	
1	
Initial conditions:	
0	
Sample time (-1 for inherited):	
Ts	
	Ŧ
OK Cancel Help	Apply

Demo Simulations III: State-feedback control for nonlinear force-torque / voltage models of inverted pendula systems



13. 10. 2012

32

Rotary single inverted pendulum (voltage model) general simulation setup for state-feedback control



13. 10. 2012

33

Rotary single inverted pendulum simulation results for LQR control compared to pole-placement

- control objective:
 - the arm should rotate for a total of half a circle and stop every quarter-turn to stabilize before returning to its initial position;
 - the pendulum should be kept upright all the time
- state-feedback control designed using continuous-time & discrete-time LQR and continuous-time pole-placement



13. 10. 2012

Rotary single inverted pendulum -

evaluation of the impact of weight matrices on system performance

- tuning the functional weight matrices enables us to stress one of the two contradictory control objectives:
 - bringing the rotary arm into the desired position in the shortest time possible
 - stabilization of the pendulum in the upright position with the lowest possible overshoot



State-feedback control with permanent disturbance compensation using a summator - control scheme



Library block State-Feedback Controller with a Summator

State-Feedback Controller w	th Summator (mask) (link)
Computes feedback and feed pendula systems which elimin	Function Block Parameters: State-Feedback Controller with a Sum
Parameters	State-Feedback Controller with Summator (mask) (link)
Compute from: discrete-tim	Computes feedback and feedforward gain to generate control input for inverted pendula systems which eliminates permanent disturbances
State matrix F:	Parameters
F	Compute from: continuous-time
Input matrix G:	State matrix A:
G	A
Output matrix C:	Input matrix B:
c	B
Direct feedthrough matrix D:	Output matrix C:
	c
Method used: LQR	Direct feedthrough matrix D:
Enter Q:	D
[500 0 0 0; 0 0 0 0; 0 0 20 0	Method used: LOR
Enter R:	Enter Q:
1	[500 0 0 0; 0 0 0 0; 0 0 20 0; 0 0 0 0]
Reference input other th	a Enter R:
Disturbance input?	1
Saturation?	Reference input other than zero?
imits (upper - lower):	✓ Disturbance input?
[inf -inf]	Saturation?
Sample time (-1 for inherited	Limits (upper - lower):
Ts	[inf -inf]
	Sample time (-1 for inherited):
ок	Ts
	1
	OK Cancel Help Apply

Control objectives:



B. 2) 13.40.2012 *Demo Simulations IV*: State-feedback control with a summator for nonlinear force-torque / voltage models of inverted pendula systems



13. 10. 2012

38

Rotary single inverted pendulum simulation results for LQR control with a summator

- control objective: to track the reference trajectory & keep the pendulum upright with a constant disturbance input present
 - the conventional LQR controller fails to track the reference trajectory without producing steady-state error
 - permanent disturbances are successfully compensated by a LQR algorithm with a summator included in the control structure



Conclusion and Evaluation of Results

- comprehensive approach to modeling and control of the rotary single inverted pendulum system
- custom-designed Simulink block library *Inverted Pendula Modeling and Control*
 - software framework for all covered issues (model derivation, open-loop analysis, linearization, state-feedback controller design)
 - provides suitable library blocks and original GUI applications to support every step of the process



13.10. 2012

Thank you for your attention.